



# KEEPING SCORE

## 2014 Legislative Analysis

So how did we do? The Indiana Chamber's *Final Legislative Report* for members lists more than 40 bills of note which passed in 2014 that the organization either fully or partially supported.

"We particularly made some good strides toward the ultimate elimination of the state's business personal property tax, addressing the education achievement gaps and financial planning for the future of Indiana's transportation network," offers Indiana Chamber President and CEO Kevin Brinegar. "But we can't become complacent or stop reaching for more."

A full recap of the session activity is in the roundtable discussion on Page 14.

The three policies above are all initiatives in the Indiana Chamber's *Indiana Vision 2025* economic development plan ([www.indianachamber.com/2025](http://www.indianachamber.com/2025)), which includes some very ambitious objectives – and there is a reason for that, Brinegar says.

"We can't afford to be anything but aggressive given the intense competitiveness that exists in the Midwest, nation and world

for businesses and the workforce. There's competition for employment – both for workers and companies competing for projects – and for business location and expansion."

In the eye-opening book, *The Coming Jobs War*, author Jim Clifton outlines the sobering situation Brinegar describes:

- "America's most pressing current problem, according to Gallup, is a lack of good jobs. The country doesn't have enough good, full-time jobs for people who want to work."
- "Every economy rides on the backs of small to medium-sized businesses. Most jobs occur when entrepreneurs start companies. Cities have to create environments where this is highly encouraged, supported, mentored and celebrated."

That means what we must do more of, Brinegar asserts, is accelerate the pace of legislation that will have real and positive

impact on the Hoosier workforce.

"In recent years, Indiana has seen major achievements that were sweeping and impactful. Establishing the most expansive school choice voucher program in the country and becoming the first state in the industrial Midwest to be a right-to-work state, to name a few," he recalls.

"No legislator lost his or her bid for re-election because of passing these pieces of legislation. That's the lesson we learned under Gov. Daniels and all the bold initiatives he brought forth. And it's a practice we need to continue."

The *Legislative Vote Analysis* summary on the facing page lets you know how your legislator voted in 2014 (and in the prior year) on pro-jobs, pro-economy bills. The full report will be available online by mid-May at [www.indianachamber.com/lva](http://www.indianachamber.com/lva).

## Indiana General Assembly

# 2014 and Aggregate (2013-2014) Voting Percentages

### Quick Reference Guide

Indiana House	Pro-jobs, Pro-economy		Indiana House	Pro-jobs, Pro-economy		Indiana Senate	Pro-jobs, Pro-economy	
	2014	Agg %		2014	Agg %		2014	Agg %
Arnold	88%	83%	Lucas	91%	89%	Alting	96%	84%
Austin	68%	63%	Lutz	91%	89%	Arnold	71%	71%
Bacon	85%	80%	Macer	58%	55%	Banks	100%	83%
Baird	91%	87%	Mahan	94%	91%	Becker	63%	66%
Bartlett	44%	44%	Mayfield	85%	80%	Boots	100%	92%
Battles	64%	57%	McMillin	88%	88%	Bray	90%	88%
Bauer	45%	48%	McNamara	85%	83%	Breaux	52%	61%
Behning	89%	87%	Messmer	88%	86%	Broden	64%	69%
Beumer	90%	90%	Moed	57%	58%	Buck	93%	89%
Bosma	93%	96%	Morris	88%	87%	Charbonneau	97%	89%
Braun	94%	94%	Morrison	88%	86%	Crider	100%	88%
Brown, C.	52%	48%	Moseley	69%	61%	Delph	86%	81%
Brown, T.	83%	89%	Neese	75%	79%	Eckerty	96%	88%
Burton	91%	93%	Negele	94%	91%	Glick	93%	84%
Carbaugh	82%	83%	Niemeyer	75%	81%	Grooms	93%	86%
Cherry	91%	92%	Niezgodski	68%	62%	Head	86%	81%
Clere	94%	94%	Ober	85%	81%	Hershman	93%	89%
Cox	91%	91%	Pelath	57%	52%	Holdman	100%	92%
Culver	88%	86%	Pierce	61%	55%	Hume	66%	66%
Davisson	90%	84%	Porter	48%	49%	Kenley	93%	86%
DeLaney	61%	60%	Price	94%	91%	Kruse	97%	89%
Demody	85%	86%	Pryor	50%	52%	Lanane	57%	65%
DeVon	91%	90%	Reardon	61%	55%	Landske	82%	77%
Dvorak	63%	54%	Rhoads	88%	91%	Leising	100%	88%
Eberhart	88%	87%	Richardson	91%	90%	Long	100%	92%
Errington	56%	57%	Riecken	61%	59%	Merritt	100%	92%
Forestal	62%	59%	Saunders	76%	75%	Miller, Patricia	100%	92%
Friend	90%	92%	Shackleford	61%	58%	Miller, Pete	100%	89%
Frizzell	88%	86%	Slager	82%	87%	Mishler	97%	88%
Frye	91%	86%	Smaltz	88%	87%	Mrvan	63%	65%
GiaQuinta	64%	61%	Smith, M.	94%	90%	Nugent	93%	83%
Goodin	61%	62%	Smith, V.	52%	52%	Paul	96%	87%
Gutwein	94%	93%	Soliday	88%	89%	Randolph	54%	62%
Hale	67%	66%	Speedy	90%	88%	Rogers	54%	60%
Hamm	82%	84%	Stemler	67%	65%	Schneider	96%	84%
Harman	82%	83%	Steuerwald	91%	90%	Skinner	48%	55%
Harris	53%	54%	Sullivan	91%	91%	Smith	93%	83%
Heaton	91%	89%	Summers	59%	57%	Steele	97%	86%
Heuer	94%	91%	Thompson	82%	83%	Stoops	52%	59%
Huston	96%	94%	Torr	94%	94%	Tallian	54%	65%
Karickhoff	88%	90%	Truitt	90%	88%	Taylor	52%	62%
Kersey	50%	51%	Turner	88%	90%	Tomes	83%	75%
Kirchhofer	94%	93%	Ubelhor	82%	82%	Walker	93%	86%
Klinker	72%	68%	VanDenburgh	68%	65%	Waltz	90%	84%
Koch	82%	84%	VanNatter	88%	90%	Waterman	95%	77%
Kubacki	88%	91%	Washburne	79%	80%	Wyss	100%	92%
Lawson	58%	56%	Wesco	85%	84%	Yoder	96%	85%
Lehe	91%	90%	Wolkins	87%	80%	Young, R. Michael	93%	76%
Lehman	94%	88%	Zent	94%	90%	Young, Richard	75%	78%
Leonard	91%	88%	Ziemke	94%	93%	Zakas	97%	92%